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Abstract
[Excerpt] In 2017, the Cornell Hospitality Quarterly (CQ) received 262 new submissions with 258 receiving editorial decisions within the year. Thirty-eight manuscripts were accepted for publication last year. Some of the new submission are still under invited revision and some of the acceptances were of manuscripts originally submitted in 2016, so dividing 38 by 258 to get an acceptance rate is not fully appropriate, but it does provide a reasonable approximation of the journal’s acceptance rate. By that calculation, CQ’s acceptance rate was 15% last year. Sixty percent of new submissions were desk-rejected last year—usually within 2 days of submission. Of those new submissions sent out for review, the average time until initial editorial decisions was 30 days. Only one or two revisions were sent back out for review last year, so the average time until an editorial decision on R&Rs was less than 3 days. More details about last year’s submissions and editorial decisions are provided in Tables 1 and 2.
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In 2017, the Cornell Hospitality Quarterly (CQ) received 262 new submissions with 258 receiving editorial decisions within the year. Thirty-eight manuscripts were accepted for publication last year. Some of the new submission are still under invited revision and some of the acceptances were of manuscripts originally submitted in 2016, so dividing 38 by 258 to get an acceptance rate is not fully appropriate, but it does provide a reasonable approximation of the journal’s acceptance rate. By that calculation, CQ’s acceptance rate was 15% last year. Sixty percent of new submissions were desk-rejected last year—usually within 2 days of submission. Of those new submissions sent out for review, the average time until initial editorial decisions was 30 days. Only one or two revisions were sent back out for review last year, so the average time until an editorial decision on R&Rs was less than 3 days. More details about last year’s submissions and editorial decisions are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1.
Number (and Percentage) of Decisions on 2016 Submissions by Manuscript Type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript Type</th>
<th>Total With Decisions</th>
<th>Reject</th>
<th>R&amp;R</th>
<th>Conditional Accept</th>
<th>Accept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original new</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>191 (74%)</td>
<td>49 (19%)</td>
<td>14 (5.4%)</td>
<td>4 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5 (10%)</td>
<td>15 (30%)</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>24 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5 (33%)</td>
<td>2 (13%)</td>
<td>1 (7%)</td>
<td>7 (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 3+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 (33%)</td>
<td>1 (17%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.
Summary Statistics for Number of Days Until Decision by Manuscript Type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript Type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New submissions</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>15.49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desk-rejected</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used existing reviews</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sent for review</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>30.46</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision 3+</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I could not have evaluated all of these submissions, or achieved the turn-around times we did, without the help of numerous reviewers who provided timely and informative comments on the manuscripts sent to them. Thus, I want to publicly thank the following people who provided reviews of CQ submissions in 2017.

Abrate, Graziano
Alhelalat, Jebril
Altin, Mehmet
Anderson, Chris
Andrades-Caldito, Lidia
Ashraf, Maria
Assaf, A. George
Astakhova, Marina
Ford, Robert
Forestell, Catherine A.
Gao, Zhifeng
Gelb, Betsy
Ghiselli, Richard
Giebelhausen, Michael
Grosch, Stefan
Guo, Xiaolong
Li, Yi-Min
Lin, Ingrid
Liu, Bingjie
Liu, Crocker
Liu, Fang
Liu, Stephanie
Lo, Desmond
Lucas, Anthony
Schmidgall, Raymond
Schwartz, Zvi
Seiter, John S.
Seo, Han-Seok
Shahbaz, Muhammad
Sharp, Byron
Shepherd, Steven
Slaugh, Vincent
My term as editor of *CQ* ends on June 30, 2018, so this is my last editorial. I want to take this opportunity to thank all the authors, reviewers, and editorial board members who supported the journal and me over the past several years. I hope you continue to support the journal and its new editor (Dr. Bruce Tracey) in the years to come.
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