

5-2017

Summary of CQ's 2016 Submissions and Editorial Decisions

Michael Lynn

Cornell University, wml3@cornell.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.sha.cornell.edu/articles>



Part of the [Hospitality Administration and Management Commons](#), and the [Scholarly Publishing Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lynn, W. M. (2017). Summary of CQ's 2016 submissions and editorial decisions. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 58(2), 120-121. doi: 10.1177/1938965517695611

This Article or Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Hotel Administration Collection at The Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Chapters by an authorized administrator of The Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact hlmdigital@cornell.edu.

Summary of CQ's 2016 Submissions and Editorial Decisions

Abstract

[Excerpt] In 2016, Cornell Hospitality Quarterly (CQ) received 280 new submissions with 271 receiving editorial decisions within the year. Twenty-five submissions were accepted for publication last year. Some of the new submissions are still under invited revision and some of the acceptances were of manuscripts originally submitted in 2015, so dividing 25 by 271 to get an acceptance rate is not fully appropriate, but it does provide a reasonable approximation of the journal's acceptance rate. By that calculation, CQ's acceptance rate is 9%. Other, more complicated but arguably more appropriate calculations put the journal's acceptance rate at 10%. Seventy-five percent of submissions were desk-rejected—usually within 3 days of submission. Of those new submissions sent out to review, the average time until initial editorial decisions was 31 days. No revisions and resubmissions (R&Rs) were sent back to the reviewers last year, so the average time until an editorial decision on R&Rs was less than 3 days. More details about last year's submissions and editorial decisions are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Keywords

Cornell, editorial, publishing, empirical articles, writing

Disciplines

Hospitality Administration and Management | Scholarly Publishing

Comments

Required Publisher Statement

© [Cornell University](http://www.cornell.edu). Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.

Editorial

Cornell Hospitality Quarterly
2017, Vol. 58(2) 120–121
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1938965517695611
journals.sagepub.com/home/cqx



Summary of CQ's 2016 Submissions and Editorial Decisions

In 2016, *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly* (CQ) received 280 new submissions with 271 receiving editorial decisions within the year. Twenty-five submissions were accepted for publication last year. Some of the new submissions are still under invited revision and some of the acceptances were of manuscripts originally submitted in 2015, so dividing 25 by 271 to get an acceptance rate is not fully appropriate, but it does provide a reasonable approximation of the journal's acceptance rate. By that calculation, CQ's acceptance rate is 9%. Other, more complicated but arguably more appropriate calculations put the journal's acceptance rate at 10%. Seventy-five percent of submissions were desk-rejected—usually within 3 days of submission. Of those new submissions sent out to review, the average time until initial editorial decisions was 31 days. No revisions and resubmissions (R&Rs) were sent back to the reviewers last year, so the average time until an editorial decision on R&Rs was less than 3 days. More details about last year's submissions and editorial decisions are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1:
Number (and Percentage) of Decisions on 2016 Submissions by Manuscript Type.

Manuscript Type	Total with Decision	Reject	R&R	Conditional Accept	Accept
New submission	271	235 (86.7%)	30 (11.1%)	5 (1.8%)	1 (.04%)
Revision 1	38	11 (28.9%)	7 (18.4%)	11 (28.9%)	9 (23.7%)
Revision 2	18	1 (5.6%)	2 (11.1%)	3 (16.7%)	12 (66.7%)
Revision 3	5	0 (0.0%)	2 (40.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (60.0%)

Table 2:
Summary Statistics for Number of Days Until Decision by Manuscript Type.

Manuscript Type	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Minimum	Maximum
New submission	271	9.1	13.8	0	61
• Desk rejected	204	1.9	1.8	0	7
• Sent to reviewers	67	31.3	10.6	8	61
Revision 1	38	2.6	5.5	0	33
Revision 2	18	1.3	1.8	0	5
Revision 3	5	2.4	3.7	0	9

I could not have evaluated all these submissions, or achieved the turn-around times we did, without the help of numerous reviewers who provided timely and informative comments on the manuscripts sent to them. Thus, I want to publically thank the following people who provided reviews of *CQ* submission in 2016.

Abbruzzo, Antonio	Jansen, Bernard J.	O'Connor, Peter
Abrate, Graziano	Jeon, Seongmin	O'Neill, John
Agmapisarn, Charoenchai	Jiang, Fuming	Perry, Jamie
Ali, Imran	Joshi, Ashwin	Pitts, Robert
Anderson, Chris	Joy, Annamma	Pratt, Stephen
Ariffin, Ahmad Azmi M.	Kalnins, Arturs	Promsivapallop, Pornpisanu
Barber, Nelson	Karatepe, Osman M.	Ramon Medina-Munoz, Diego
Barnes, Donald	Kasavana, Michael	Rasoolimanesh, Mostafa
Barnett, Michael	Khan, Mahmood	Reynolds, Dennis
Becerra, Manuel	Kim, Miran	Rihova, Ivana
Berezina, Katerina	Kim, Woo	Rosenbaum, Mark
Beritelli, Pietro	Kimes, Sheryl	Saayman, Melville
Boateng, Agyenim	Kitapci, Olgun	Sampson, Scott
Boudry, Walter	Klausner, Adam	San Martín, Héctor
Bowen, John	Ku, Edward	Sarwar, Abdullah
Brewster, Zachary	Kwok, Linchi	Scott, David
Chan, Elisa	Kwortnik, Robert	Sheel, Atul
Chiu, Ching-Ren	Lam, Desmond	Sheu, Chwen
Chiu, Yung-ho	LaTour, Kathryn	Simons, Tony
Chun, HaeEun	Law, Rob	Slaugh, Vincent
Clemes, Michael D.	Lawrence, Benjamin	Smith, Melanie
Combs, Jim	Lee, Eun	So, Kevin Kam Fung
deRoos, Jan	Lee, Seoki	Steiner, Eva
Eastman, Jacqueline	Lipovetsky, Stan	Sturman, Michael
Falk, Martin	Liu, Crocker	Suh, Eunju
Ford, Robert	Liu, Fang	Susskind, Alex
Gao, Wendy	Liu, Hsin-Hsien	Tanford, Sarah
Gao, Yixing Lisa	Loi, Raymond	Tavitiyaman, Pimtong
Giebelhausen, Michael	Lu, Lu	Tews, Michael
Gonzalez, Reyes	Lucas, Anthony F.	Torres, Edwin
Green, Alston	Madera, Juan	Tracey, J.
Green, Melanie	Magnini, Vincent	Uhlaner, Lorraine
Guchait, Priyanko	Mahadevan, Renuka	Ukhov, Andrey
Guo, Xiaolong	Marco-Lajara, Bartolome	van Oest, Rutger
Hanks, Lydia	Martinez-Fernandez, Teresa	Wang, Chen-Ya
Hanson, Bjorn	Mattila, Anna	Wang, Shuo
Harris, Judy	Mauri, Aurelio	Wong, IpKin
Hirsch, Gary	Moulton, Pamela	Xie, Karen
HON, Alice H. Y.	Mura, Paolo	Xie, Xiaoqing
Hsu, Cathy	Murphy, Jamie	Yang, Yanwu
Huan, Tzung-Cheng	Mussoni, Maurizio	Yoon, Hye Hyun
Huang, Chien-jung	Nicolau, Juan	Zheng, Tianshu
Huang, Jun	Noone, Breffni	

Thank you to these reviewers and to the authors who submitted their manuscripts for consideration at *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly* (*CQ*) in 2016. I hope you continue to support the journal in 2017 and in the years to come.

Michael Lynn