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PREDICTIVE POWERS OF HOTEL CYCLES

by John (Jack) B. Corgel, Ph. D

Whether we want to accept the fact or not, the hotel business (both the sale of rooms and assets) is a cyclical business. Cycles exist in the hotel business for some good and well-documented reasons. Most importantly, hotels are not the same as most other commodities like, say, tooth paste. By this I mean that when the demand for rooms suddenly spikes, as it did during the recent holiday season in New York City, the supply of rooms cannot correspondingly expand within a short period to satisfy the new level of demand. Should the same circumstances occur in the market for tooth paste, producers will turn up the machinery not operating at full capacity, add another work shift, and turn out more tubes before you can say 'dental bills.' Thus, hotel supply change lags demand in both the upward and downward directions meaning that RevPAR persists at relatively high levels and growth rates following an upward movement in demand and RevPAR persists at low levels and growth rates following a decline in demand.

The cycle's story just told appears quite tragic unless participants are somehow clever enough to predict the turning points and avoid downturns and troughs. Despite the financial wreckage they created in the past, hotel cycles now generate some underappreciated predictive powers. These powers are fueled by the availability of Smith Travel Research and other data covering a complete cycle (i.e., down from 1987 to 1992, up from 1992 to 2001, and down from 2001 until quite recently). During the latest complete cycle, all the moving parts behaved much as economic theory suggests. If the hotel market recently made an upward turn at the bottom of the cycle as many feel, then we have in our possession the map of how a recovery will unfold. In this article, I attempt to use the knowledge gained during the latest complete cycle to chart a near-term course of events in the U.S. hotel market.

OCCUPANCY AND ADR CYCLING THROUGH TIME
The existence of hotel market cycles is a well-recognized phenomenon. Smooth and regular fluctuations around an equilibrium level may occur for two reasons. First, a strong correlation exists between measures of national and local market economic activity (e.g., GDP, real personal income, and employment) and hotel demand. Consequently, cyclical patterns in hotel performance measures emanate from business cycle patterns through the demand side of the market. Second, supply changes should logically follow shifts in demand, albeit with long delivery lags. If the business cycle is smooth and construction predictably responds, then the hotel market cycle will have a correspondingly smooth appearance over time.
Abnormally wide swings in hotel market performance observed during recent decades occurred because of shocks to the economy and hotel markets. These events either impacted the supply of hotel rooms, demand for hotel room nights, or both. Government intervention of the early 1980s, for example, artificially inflated the supply of hotels. With occupancy already below normal levels in the late 1980s, the recession and Gulf War in the early 1990s stymied the market recovery. Similarly, the combined effects of the demand-based general economic recession beginning in 2001, the terrorist attacks in September 2001 that created a stigma on domestic and international travel caused demand for air travel to plummet, and the Iraqi war produced steep declines in hotel occupancy and average daily rate (ADR) during 2001 and 2002.

Exhibit 1 shows the cyclical patterns of occupancy and real ADR for U.S. hotels during the past few decades. The following observations come from an examination of these trends:

1. Occupancy has a definite cyclical pattern. This pattern appears smoother since the late 1980s, which may be the consequence of lower information costs. 
2. The pattern of real ADR also appears cyclical, albeit with an upward trend. 
3. During two periods, 1972-1974 and 1985-1987, occupancy and real ADR moved in opposite directions. These atypical and anomalous movements are likely the result of the federal government policies in place during those respective times. 
4. Since the early 1990s, and for some years before 1990, occupancy leads ADR just as economic theory predicts.

EVENTS DURING THE HOTEL CYCLE
The economics of hotel markets suggest that occupancy represents the current relationship between demand and supply. Occupancy reaches levels above (below) normal when demand exceeds (less than) supply. During periods of abnormally high (low) occupancy, ADR increases (decreases) causing occupancy to fall (rise). The economics of hotel markets also suggest that ADR represents the current relationship between demand and supply, and accordingly, ADR reaches levels above (below) normal when demand exceeds (less than) supply. Once ADR reaches a level in the market for which development becomes feasible. To complete the market process, hotel construction eventually satisfies the excess demand that drove occupancy and ADR above normal. As more rooms are added to the stock, occupancy and ADR fall back to normal levels. At the peak of the cycle the market may become unstable with supply growth continuing after demand is satisfied (i.e., overshooting). This problem of overbuilding is an unfortunate byproduct of cyclical markets.
Exhibit 2—Hotel Market Cycle

Exhibit 3—Hotel Market Processes in a Normal Cycle and Following Extraordinary Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Condition</th>
<th>Demand Response</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>ADR</th>
<th>Supply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upward Movement Toward Peak</td>
<td>Normal rate of increase</td>
<td>Immediately increases with increase in demand</td>
<td>Increases lag occupancy, accelerates as occupancy approaches natural level</td>
<td>Construction begins as ADR approaches feasibility level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward Movement From Peak</td>
<td>Normal decline</td>
<td>Decrease occurs immediately</td>
<td>Decrease occurs with a definite lag</td>
<td>Construction slowly comes to a halt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Demand-Based Recession</td>
<td>Rapid decline</td>
<td>Immediate and rapid decline</td>
<td>Decrease with short lag</td>
<td>Construction stops abruptly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>War or Catastrophic Event</td>
<td>Rapid decline</td>
<td>Immediate and rapid decline</td>
<td>May be frozen until duration is determined</td>
<td>Construction delayed until duration is determined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 2 presents a graphical representation of the hotel market cycle. The hotel market process involves an observable lag between occupancy change and ADR adjustment. ³ As markets move from the peak of the cycle to the trough, such as during the recent cycle phase from 1998 to 2002, softness in demand forces hotel managers to reduce room rates in an effort to maintain occupancy percent. ⁴ These actions retard the decline in occupancy during periods when demand drops. The opposite of this process occurs as markets move from the trough of the cycle to the peak. An increase in the demand for hotel rooms causes immediate improvements in occupancy. The upward trend in occupancy moderates as hotel managers begin to raise room rates, which begins occurring as occupancy approaches the natural level of the market. Exhibit 3 provides a summary of how markets ‘should’ behave through an ordinary cycle and in response to external events.

HOTEL CAP RATES APPEAR COUNTER CYCLICAL

Hotel construction reacts to two market signals. The first signal, discussed above, comes from the market for rooms in the form of occupancy and ADR growth reaching levels that make debt and equity financing of hotel development feasible. The second comes from the asset market in the form of pricing that makes it profitable to build and sell hotels. If these two signals conflict then
prediction becomes quite difficult.

Exhibit 4 presents a ten-year history of full-service hotel cap in the U.S. Fortunately, hotel cap rates appear to move in a counter-cyclical pattern, and thus valuations in the capital market follow the same pattern as occupancy and ADR. The highest rate of slightly above 12% occurred at the end of the early-1990s recession. The average rate reached 11.7% during the recent recession, but fell sharply over the past two quarters. Hotel cap rates moved downward and broke through the 10% barrier for several quarters in 1997 and 1998 when the economy and market for hotel rooms was rapidly expanding. In theory, hotel cap rates should continue to conform to the counter-cyclical pattern they followed during the past ten years because hotel property values logically decline (rise) as incomes become more (less) risky.

**PREPARING THE MAP FOR 2004 AND 2005**

Armed with recent evidence about the cyclical behavior of the hotel markets can we make any predictions? Returning to Exhibits 2, it appears that the U.S. hotel market at the start of 2004 is in the early stage of an upward movement toward a peak. This movement is conditioned by the general economic recovery which governs its direction. Theory suggests that modest increases in occupancy starting in 2003 IV will continue until occupancy percents reach the long-run average (i.e., somewhere between 65 to 70% in most local markets). As the market approaches this point, hotels will be able to begin increasing room rates.

From thereon up the slope, occupancy gains will slow and room rate increases will begin to dominate RevPAR growth. In some metropolitan markets, such as New York, occupancy is already near the long-run average. Econometric forecasts from the Hospitality Research Group and Torto Wheaton Research Hotel Outlook indicate that many major markets in the U.S. will experience occupancy at long-run average levels by the end of 2004, meaning there will be room rate growth in 2004 as well. During 2005, room rates will begin approaching development feasibility levels.

What does the cycle pattern not help predict? First, cycles have turning points that are nearly impossible to forecast because they often occur as a consequence of unpredictable external stimuli. Second, the extent of overbuilding cannot be anticipated. Academics and financial institution regulators are focusing considerable attention to the problem of real estate market overbuilding today.  
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ENDNOTES
2. Some argue that construction of hotels and certain other property types does not respond predictability, but instead, supply behaves with a 'mind of its own'. See Torto and Wheaton (2002).
3. In 1987, Smith Travel Research began regular and public reporting of hotel rooms available, rooms sold, and ADR for the U.S and local markets. The availability of these data immediately enabled developers and capital suppliers to begin making better decisions about supply additions in response to changing demand.
4. For a discussion of real estate cycle effects from policies in the early 1970s, see King and McCue (1987). The disruptive effects of federal policies from the early 1980s on real estate markets are documented in Corcoran (1987) and Henderson and Kane (1992).
5. This lag is documented in PriceWaterhouseCoopers (2002).
6. Estimates of the price elasticity of demand for hotel rooms place the value at approximately - 4. This means that when ADR falls revenue will likely fall because the competitive adverse effect of the increase in the number of rooms sold will not offset the negative revenue effect of ADR erosion.
7. See Corgel (2003a) for a review.
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