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Developing High-Level Leaders in Hospitality: Advice for Retaining Female Talent

Kate Walsh, Susan S. Fleming, and Cathy A. Enz

There has to be a commitment—a really deep commitment—on the part of the most senior people in the organization to give more than lip service to the idea that the human resource is the most precious thing. Everybody says it, everybody’s mission statement, particularly in hospitality, is very clear about using words that capture that idea. Where the rubber meets the road is, okay, so what do you have in place that allows that to really happen? It’s not about a whiz-bang thing. It’s pretty fundamental.

Without a doubt, the hospitality industry is effectively leaving money on the table by not being more intentional in guiding talented women to advance to senior-level positions. In a companion CHR Report, we examined the career paths of a sample of twenty accomplished and successful women professionals currently working in the hospitality industry, both as corporate leaders and as entrepreneurs. Their comments demonstrated that their advancement was in large part due to their own drive, while their organizations played a mixed role in enabling, or in some cases undermining, their success. In this report, we present these industry leaders’ assessment of the ways that hospitality organizations can help their most talented women build meaningful careers that can take them to top leadership roles in the C-suite. Our goal is to understand and identify ways that institutions can facilitate the career progression of more than half of the hospitality industry’s professional workforce, who for reasons we discuss, often opt-out of their hospitality-based careers.
A Case for Change

The most crucial form of capital in today's service-based organizations is its human talent; developing and drawing upon this knowledge base is key to the vitality and longevity of the hospitality industry, and any company within it. With the growing labor shortage and aging workforce, organizations cannot underestimate the criticality of identifying and grooming their most promising talent for future leadership. Yet research shows that organizations are often falling short when it comes to developing and advancing female talent. This is evidenced by the many women who choose to leave their employers, especially at mid-career. These professionals' reasons for exiting are complex and numerous, but frequently include significant structural barriers to reaching the C-suite.¹ Professional women on average face slower upward mobility, earn lower wages than their male counterparts, and are overrepresented in positions lacking authority or autonomy.² Concurrent with limited advancement opportunities, women professionals often find they are asked to work in ways that make it impossible for them to meet their non-work obligations.³

This disconnect between the working needs of female professionals and the traditional hierarchies companies use to organize and reward their employees causes many women to choose other ways to build meaningful careers, increasingly as entrepreneurs and often outside of the industry.⁴ Research shows that as many as two-thirds of highly qualified women are rejecting corporate jobs for entrepreneurial endeavors or to simply focus on other roles in their lives.⁵ The resulting loss of professional talent is considerable. While women constitute over half of the students in many hospitality programs and occupy more than half of professional entry-level positions, their representation dwindles to around 15 percent at the executive level.⁶

When women do occupy senior leadership roles, their organizations benefit in important ways. Greater representation of women in executive-level positions has been associated with higher sales revenue, relative profits, and stock performance.⁷ Moreover, organizations with three or more women on the board have been shown to be 18 to 69 percent more profitable than companies with male-only boards in the same industry.⁸ The presence of female senior leaders creates a virtuous cycle that includes a larger proportion of women, and thus professional talent, at lower levels.⁹ Because female leaders signal possibilities for advancement, younger professionals are more likely to emulate these top executives and want to follow similar paths.

All these findings suggest that hospitality organizations could benefit, and even gain advantage, from employing greater numbers of women in senior-level positions. The question is, how do organizations structure such fundamental and essential initiatives? To answer this question, we interviewed twenty top-level women in the hospitality in-

---

After a content analysis in which we noted where ideas converged along key themes, we substantiated our identified themes using Atlas.ti 7., a qualitative software tool designed to assist in analyzing large bodies of text. Using the Atlas.ti word count function and coding process, we searched the 255-page transcript for each theme identified through our initial content analysis. The themes included flexibility, networking, risk taking, institutional programs, advancement opportunities, and work-family balance. This search enabled us to locate all quotations within the transcribed documents that used key word families. Thus, we were able to substantiate the presence of our identified themes and ensure that they were represented throughout our interviews. A review of the word counts also enabled us to uncover any ideas not captured through our initial content analysis. Thus, analyzing the highlighted word families strengthened the validity of the themes generated through our content analysis, and ensured that interviewee responses were accurately represented.

In the sections that follow, we share the themes that emerged from our interviews about what companies can do to develop and retain talented professionals such as our respondents.

**Advice for Organizations**

**Inertia and the status quo.** The heart and foundation for this study was a desire to uncover how the hospitality industry could fully tap into, develop, and realize the benefits of the career perspectives of these respondents, including challenges they faced in their career journeys, ways they overcame these challenges, and advice they would provide to young professionals.10

**Study Methodology**

To explore how hospitality organizations can advance the careers of women, we conducted semi-structured interviews with twenty female executives in the hospitality industry, whom we identified through our knowledge of the industry and from suggestions by our respondents. Twelve of our twenty respondents held senior management positions (e.g., division president, executive VP of development, senior VP of human resources, vice president food and beverage, senior VP of operations, senior VP of sales and marketing, general manager) in publically traded, global hospitality corporations, as well as in four smaller hospitality firms, a food and beverage company, and an airline. The remaining eight participants were CEOs and founders of their own firms. All but two participants were in their 40s or older. Eighteen respondents were married, fifteen were mothers, and eighteen had spent their entire professional careers in the hospitality industry.

To uncover their insights and reflections, we conducted semi-structured interviews in person or by telephone that lasted between 45 and 120 minutes. (See Exhibit 1 for the interview protocol.) To facilitate the identification of central concepts, we recorded and transcribed our interviews.11 After a content analysis in which we noted where ideas converged along key themes, we substantiated our identified themes using Atlas.ti 7., a qualitative software tool designed to assist in analyzing large bodies of text.

Using the Atlas.ti word count function and coding process, we searched the 255-page transcript for each theme identified through our initial content analysis. The themes included flexibility, networking, risk taking, institutional programs, advancement opportunities, and work-family balance. This search enabled us to locate all quotations within the transcribed documents that used key word families. Thus, we were able to substantiate the presence of our identified themes and ensure that they were represented throughout our interviews. A review of the word counts also enabled us to uncover any ideas not captured through our initial content analysis. Thus, analyzing the highlighted word families strengthened the validity of the themes generated through our content analysis, and ensured that interviewee responses were accurately represented.

In the sections that follow, we share the themes that emerged from our interviews about what companies can do to develop and retain talented professionals such as our respondents.


11 Note that all three principal researchers conducted the interviews. We thought it essential to do so. In-person interviews were recorded with a recording device and phone interviews were recorded with the assistance of a toll-free conferencing and recording service.
of retaining more than half of its current and future professional workforce. Interestingly, however, when we asked our respondents what their companies could do to retain professionals, both men and women, the immediate reaction was often a long pause, suggesting that answers to this question are complex and multi-layered. At a basic level, these executives generally agreed that the industry can and should do more to promote the development of its female professionals. As one respondent commented:

I get upset when I think about it because I think there are so many organizations out there who are not harnessing what we can as companies, and it’s just insane and we can’t possibly think that we’re looking after our customers that way if we’re not looking after... if we’re not using our resources better. So we’ve got to find a way to shift that and change the whole attitude. ... And one of the things is that at a more fundamental level, putting the programs in place and caring enough to put the programs in place and then monitoring and making sure they’re effective and listening to the feedback that you’re getting.

Despite recognizing the need and desire for organizations to facilitate change, it still was difficult for the professionals with whom we spoke to think of profound initiatives. This may be because the fabric of the industry is so tightly woven that to cut into it and alter it also means to reorganize how work is done. Further, these professionals, and other top leaders, had found ways to create successful careers within the current structure and thus questioning the status quo may not be as salient for them. One respondent reflected on this possibility:

Wow, this is going to come across as a little harsh. You ready? There are so many people that have worked so hard to get to the top for a really long time that are not going to want to upset the apple cart. Because in a sense you’re saying one of the difficulties is when people have played the rules for a very long period of time and they’ve climbed to the top, then it’s hard for them. They don’t want to change the rules. It’s like, ‘I had to do this.’

Considering that eight of our twenty respondents had left corporate hospitality organizations to launch their own businesses, we could not help but wonder whether any one of them would have remained in their organizations had they been provided with greater opportunities, a better sightline to the top, or more autonomy and support for balancing the demands of their work and family lives. We wanted to learn more about this issue.

Fortunately, when prompted further, these executives offered important ideas about how organizations can facilitate the advancement of women into leadership positions. First and foremost, they told us that it is critical that commitment to female leadership development be driven from the highest levels of an organization. Next, they offered a series of suggestions that we highlight below. These critical initiatives include: demonstrating a deep commitment to change, building role models from the top of the organization, promoting mentoring, acknowledging the value of a diverse workforce, offering schedule autonomy and control for mid-career professionals, creating a supportive infrastructure, and focusing on long-term career development.

It is important to note that any one of the following ideas we present can certainly assist some professionals some of the time—and thus provide benefit to their organizations. Taken together though, they provide a point of leverage and distinction. That is, if companies incorporate these ideas into a fundamental shift in and commitment to how they develop their professional workforce, they can become the place for women professionals—and all professionals—to develop meaningful and satisfying careers.

Make Leadership Advancement a Central and Visible Priority

When we asked about what it takes to retain female professionals, our respondents noted that organizations must continually create growth opportunities and make sure that these opportunities are visible to their high potential talent. This includes making clear the potential career paths a professional could take, and initiating steps to implement related plans. Such as strategy would help ambitious professionals see their future steps, and set their strategy for taking there are so many organizations out there who are not harnessing what we can as companies, and it’s just insane and we can’t possibly think that we’re looking after our customers that way if we’re...not using our resources better.
on new challenges and growing within their organizations. As one professional summed it up,

... so you have to keep moving if you’re young and smart and aggressive. You have to keep moving—not moving jobs but moving up.

One interviewee pointed out that working in a growing company often creates opportunities,

I think just in general, it’s the same as it’s always been, if the company is growing, and there’s growth for you and you’re still learning—once you go in every single day and you’re not learning anything and you’re young, that’s just not good anymore.

Thus, providing growth and advancement opportunities is linked to being proactive and purposeful in identifying, developing, communicating, and implementing a path for successful advancement for high potential women. As one respondent commented,

When I was at company xxx, we had a lot of women in our group. We had a lot of senior women and there were women across the firm, but women were very, very underrepresented at the partnership level. And there really was never a conversation about what it takes to get there, what the path is going to be, they never really laid it out to the people that they should have been having in their eyesights. And all of a sudden when I resigned I was asked to give a lot of time because they didn’t want to announce it and really what I found out was a lot of senior women had left around the same time I did. And then all of a sudden these partners are all over me: “Oh, you’ve got a wonderful future here, let’s lay out this path, and lay out that path.” And I felt like saying “Well if you had done this while I was here maybe I wouldn’t be walking out the door.” And then it made it feel disingenuous to me that now they care about me because I’m leaving. And so it was interesting because I think that it’s probably not uncommon from what I hear for other firms to do that. To have these great programs in place and things like that, but just not be active in terms of how they’re implementing them to make sure that they’re encouraging and developing women along the way to get to the most senior levels.

Fundamentally, committing to providing growth opportunities and career development plans and programs will only work if organizations demonstrate a consistent and high-level commitment to change, and back it up with tangible actions. As one respondent passionately explained:

Organizations have to look at walking the walk and not just putting out policies to check the box. And you know, my experience has been that some organizations like to check the box and they like to get on the cover of Working Women magazine and make sure their firm is featured in all this positive PR about women, but when it comes right down to it, they are not practicing what they’re preaching. And a lot of them will put in place these great committees and initiatives and things like that, but not really carry through and make sure that those initiatives are effective and what they need to do in order to change and be flexible with what they’re doing over time.

Another professional expanded on this theme, noting the lack of companies that are genuinely and consistently committed to advancing women into leadership positions:

There’s plenty of women who don’t have kids who still struggle. It’s not just [mothers]...it’s just the general bias in the workplace still. So I’d say I haven’t seen an organization who had any sort of organized way of dealing with it, to be honest. They’ve just been more ad hoc. Sometimes they’d put pumping stations in bathrooms or allow more leave time, paternal leave time. I mean, you’ve seen all of those sorts of human resources benefits kind of things put in place. But as far as really trying to embrace women and do things to move women ahead, I can’t come up with anything.

As part of this deep commitment to change, organizations must focus on reinforcing the sightline to the top through role models and mentors. We elaborate on this next. Build Role Models from the Top of the Organization Companies would benefit from providing role models at the top of their organizations, for both men and women. Doing so would encourage rising professionals to remain with an organization. As one respondent mentioned:
I think looking—especially for women—looking for ways to have great role models for them as they progress in their careers is important. If you go to an organization that doesn’t have any senior women at its upper management levels, you’re sending a pretty strong signal to people and so I think that firms really need to make sure that they’re conscious of what they’re trying to say and that they’re honest. I think if you go to a private equity firm and you’re going to be the only woman on the block, organizationally, you need to be able to say, ‘Listen, we may not have had many women at the organization in the past, but here are ten things that we’re going to be more open.’

A second professional commented,

I think [we need] more women as role models. You think it’s sort of a token thing, but it really does embolden a lot of younger women to think, ‘Yes, I can do this.’ And I think it’s important to have kind of the internal gumption to say if I saw this other senior executive woman and she could succeed and do that, then I could do that, too.

Respondents also told us that they found value from mentoring programs. This is consistent with research showing that mentoring can have significant positive benefits for individuals, including reducing stress, increasing job satisfaction, improving individual performance and increasing skills. Mentoring can also decrease bias against those who are different. From an organization’s point of view, mentoring can reinforce commitment, reduce turnover, enhance recruiting efforts, improve company performance, and increase knowledge transfer.

However, to be valuable, such programs must be thoughtfully conceived, structured, and executed. As one respondent noted:

While there’s nothing worse than going to a mentorship networking event and your mentor and you are tagged together and you’re supposed to ask each other about your favorite whatever and your favorite hotel you’ve stayed in and all these icebreakers that are really superficial, when you actually need it, it’s helpful.

For example, in a good mentoring program, mentors are individuals with a genuine interest in participating. They also commit sufficient time to the relationship, and demonstrate the ability to both listen and provide honest, practical, specific, and direct feedback. As a result, they can serve as role models for their protégés. A second participant expanded on the idea of mentoring as a program that extends beyond the organization, and is more “industry-wide,” noting:

I like the idea of a mentor program of sorts. I was always too intimidated to really seek out a mentor, but I do think that it’d be beneficial. Could it be something that’s done through the school specifically with women? I’m not sure. Where it’s almost like a support system, where you would have the ability to once a month have a conversation and (discuss) ‘How’s it going? What do you think?’ just to sort of have a place to circle back to, because you’d think that it happens through human resources, but it generally doesn’t. And again, the companies, do they really feel comfortable favoring one sex over the other? Officially they really can’t. So what kind of support can we provide as an industry? Almost like a buddy system. I could see that helping.

To provide support and build these types of connections also means that organizations must systematically value the contributions and perspectives of all professionals, especially those at the top levels. This brings us to the third recom-

---

13 Ibid.
It’s more about trying to encourage diversity in the executive teams of hotels, diversity in executive teams in corporate offices and boards, where just everywhere you look, wherever there’s a locus of power, which is a board or executive committee or whatever, that locus of power has a diverse makeup. And it’s just to push people to think in different ways that they don’t naturally think.

Recommendation for developing leadership potential through sustained and meaningful change.

Recognize the Power Inherent in Diverse Perspectives

Our participants emphasized the power of diversity, with the recognition that diverse perspectives enhance the decision-making process. One of them summarized the benefits of a diverse executive team, explaining:

It’s more about trying to encourage diversity in the executive teams of hotels, diversity in executive teams in corporate offices and boards, where just everywhere you look, wherever there’s a locus of power, which is a board or executive committee or whatever, that locus of power has a diverse makeup. And it’s just to push people to think in different ways that they don’t naturally think. You know you get a bunch of old white guys and you put six of them together in a room, and they’ll have some variation in the way they think, but they may not have the same breakout thinking and innovative thinking as if there’s two or three old white guys and the other three are a Black guy and a woman and a Hispanic woman and a white woman or something. It just...it makes the molecules in the room move around in ways that are more productive and exciting and stimulates thought that wouldn’t otherwise happen.

This same point was made by a second respondent, who told us:

I think you have to educate your leaders to understand the power of a diverse workforce, and I think there’s a lot more people could do in terms of that...and it’s not teaching managers to be better managers towards their women team members, but it’s teaching managers to be better managers for all staff, really.

The business case for diversity, particularly at the top of organizations, is well supported in the academic literature. As we noted at the outset, a diverse workforce has been shown to increase revenue, income, and stock price premiums, as well as enhance service capability and marketing efforts. Beyond sending positive signals to the wider industry, diverse perspectives enhance strategic problem-solving and decision-making capabilities. Thus, benefits accrue when organizations go beyond filling a single executive spot with a token representative. However, these benefits are moderated by the degree to which all executives are willing to adopt a truly collaborative framework and exchange accurate information in their discussions, which again emphasizes the deep commitment required to truly benefit from diversity.

In addition to recommending that organizations tap into all forms of diversity, our respondents also emphasized that companies would benefit if they made a long-term commitment to their professionals through providing institutional support as they juggle responsibilities associated with increased leader roles, especially during their challenging mid-career years. This is usually the time when, as caregivers, men and women may need to adjust the ways in which they work. Respondents suggested two means by which organizations could retain their leadership talent through these tough mid-career years. The first is to offer such professionals autonomy and control over the ways in which they accomplish their jobs. The second is to create an infrastructure—both within and outside of the company—that would provide a sense of community and support for working professionals. We discuss each of these ideas in the next two sections.

Offer Autonomy and Control for Mid-Career Professionals

Respondents repeatedly told us that providing autonomy and control would facilitate a strong long-term work relationship between professionals and their organizations. This advice resonates with a stream of research that has found


16 Roberson and Park, *op.cit*.

that employees are less likely to leave when their employers can assist in facilitating or enhancing their non-work lives during the mid-career stage.\textsuperscript{18} Offering greater autonomy to individuals in how they integrate the different aspects of their lives is key to retaining mid-career professionals.\textsuperscript{19} This includes offering flexibility and simply conveying trust that the job would get done whether or not the person was in the office from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM. Providing control and choice over their schedules enables professionals to be successful and productive, especially during their mid-career stages. As one respondent noted:

> When it comes down to looking at what the policies are, I think you’ve got to be supportive of families... I think people can continue to be productive in a lot of different environments. And flexibility is something you have to earn over time. But when you get to the point that you earn it, there ought to be policies in place in a company that they can work with you to retain great talent as people move through different junctures in their lives. Whether it’s having babies or taking time off for family, or even to pursue something else. I know a lot of the law firms have become more supportive of attorneys pursuing \textit{pro bono} work or other things that may not be directly related to what they do, but it’s related to their long-term satisfaction.

Another executive commented,

> Sometimes it’s just allowing enough flexibility in the way that the firm deals with people that allows a woman to stay over the course of her career and to be successful. You’re not going to retain everyone 100 percent of the way, but it’s having the adaptability to change over time.

A third respondent spoke about how to structure these types of autonomous programs, including reduced work schedules, in a way that is clear and fair. She told us:

> The challenge we had was, how could we be paying these very valuable and high-performing individuals, while still meeting the business needs of the organization and the clients and being fair to the other people who were part of their work groups. So this was the set of filters we put in place. First of all, this person who’s requesting [to work less hours], it has to be a policy in place in a company that they can work with you to retain great talent as people move through different junctures in their lives. Whether it’s having babies or taking time off for family, or even to pursue something else. I know a lot of the law firms have become more supportive of attorneys pursuing \textit{pro bono} work or other things that may not be directly related to what they do, but it’s related to their long-term satisfaction.

Another executive commented,

> Sometimes it’s just allowing enough flexibility in the way that the firm deals with people that allows a woman to stay over the course of her career and to be successful. You’re not going to retain everyone 100 percent of the way, but it’s having the adaptability to change over time.

A third respondent spoke about how to structure these types of autonomous programs, including reduced work schedules, in a way that is clear and fair. She told us:

> The challenge we had was, how could we be paying these very valuable and high-performing individuals, while still meeting the business needs of the organization and the clients and being fair to the other people who were part of their work groups. So this was the set of filters we put in place. First of all, this person who’s requesting [to work less hours], it has to be a policy in place in a company that they can work with you to retain great talent as people move through different junctures in their lives. Whether it’s having babies or taking time off for family, or even to pursue something else. I know a lot of the law firms have become more supportive of attorneys pursuing \textit{pro bono} work or other things that may not be directly related to what they do, but it’s related to their long-term satisfaction.

Another executive commented,

> Sometimes it’s just allowing enough flexibility in the way that the firm deals with people that allows a woman to stay over the course of her career and to be successful. You’re not going to retain everyone 100 percent of the way, but it’s having the adaptability to change over time.

A third respondent spoke about how to structure these types of autonomous programs, including reduced work schedules, in a way that is clear and fair. She told us:

> The challenge we had was, how could we be paying these very valuable and high-performing individuals, while still meeting the business needs of the organization and the clients and being fair to the other people who were part of their work groups. So this was the set of filters we put in place. First of all, this person who’s requesting [to work less hours], it has to be a policy in place in a company that they can work with you to retain great talent as people move through different junctures in their lives. Whether it’s having babies or taking time off for family, or even to pursue something else. I know a lot of the law firms have become more supportive of attorneys pursuing \textit{pro bono} work or other things that may not be directly related to what they do, but it’s related to their long-term satisfaction.

One of our entrepreneurial respondents explained how offering autonomy to employees was a competitive advantage for her firm in attracting the best talent:

> When I hire just a few people that have worked for me, I’ve said, “This is what I can give you and this is what I can’t give you.” I can’t give them a huge base salary, but what I can give them is flexibility. I said, “I’d like you to be working pretty much during the 9-to-5, Monday-to-Friday day, but you know when you schedule your own calls with your clients, your own interviews, your own meetings, and you have a doctor’s appointment or you have a parent-teacher conference, that’s fine. You don’t have to ask me. You just go. You schedule around it. And if you have to work until 7:00 that night or you’re coming in early because you’re busy, then you just do it, or you look at your schedule when you’re not busy and try to schedule your appointments then.” So you just have to treat people like grownups. …I think offering some form of flexibility and treating people maturely, and if they can’t manage it, then you have to address it, but I think that would be enormous for people—even men who have families and want to try to be there for something important with their family.
be enormous for people—even men who have families and want to try to be there for something important with their family.

Yet for women, bias against flexibility and autonomy, and resulting skepticism about their commitment levels, continues to surface. This was noted by one senior-level respondent, who commented:

If a man comes in and says, ‘You know what, I’m going to cut out a little bit early. I want to go watch my daughter play soccer.’ Everyone says, ‘Oh, great. Good for you. Family man, you know, really committed to his children, et cetera. Good guy.’ A woman comes in and everyone would say ‘Uh, well, I guess she’s not really committed to work. Clearly her priorities aren’t here.’ [It’s] a very different perspective.

This high-level executive went onto to say that she counsels women not to offer personal information that would cause others to form these sorts of judgments.

The benefits that organizations can reap from taking a long-term perspective on their employees’ careers and allowing them to ramp up and ramp down when needed, may be vastly underestimated. One of our professionals mentioned how much the power to create her own schedule meant to her. She said,

These companies underestimate what all associates are willing to do. If you give them a little freedom and you trust them, they’ll repay that with strong loyalty…I think that was really important for me that I was able to balance at that time in my career.

Another said,

Getting people through [mid-career] when they are high-potential employees is something that all the organizations that are having success with retention are really, really focusing on. And they’re not just looking at women; they’re looking at men and women. The thing that happens when you work with somebody through that kind of phase of their lives is you engender such loyalty and commitment. Because they recognize that you understand them, that you appreciate them and you respect their work ethic and the way they feel about the company and their clients and they’re really stars to begin with, and then they somehow… as you say, it spirals upward.

This professional described this as, “The flexibility to move with the person as they develop over time.” She was referring to the fact that organizations benefit when they can adjust to the needs of their professionals, as they work through mid-career challenges. She added that flexibility of this type was crucial to keeping talent from walking out the door. This idea is supported by research which has found that women tend to move through career stages defined by needing challenge early in their careers, balance during the mid-point, and authenticity (meaning performing work that is consistent with one’s values) at the end. Organizations that respond to these shifting needs will better retain their talent. In this regard, it’s also important to create an infrastructure of support.

Create an Infrastructure of Support Both Within and Outside of the Organization

Our respondents also pointed to the need to create an infrastructure, both within and outside of the company, to provide a sense of community and support for working professionals. This built-in community could facilitate the relocation process, for example, and make it more attractive and easy for professionals to accept new assignments within their organizations. As explained by one of the professionals:

One thing that xxx company has done that I really admire is they’ve set up a support network for women moving into leadership positions, helping them find schools, helping them find doctors, helping them find resources that is typically…my husband didn’t do that stuff. That was me… I’ve been focusing on these number twos, and it’s not just female oriented but male and female, how can we continue to develop and train them, and we haven’t taken on the personal side of support.
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Reflecting on a time when she transferred to a new city to take a general manager position, this executive commented that it would have been helpful if her company had provided her with support to settle her family into their new city. She (and the firm) would have benefited from help in taking care of her non-work needs so she could more quickly focus on her new position. However, the kind of structure that enables newly transferred executives to “hit the ground running” involves much more than the human resources department providing lists of day-care facilities, for example. Rather, being connected into her new community’s “parent network” would have enabled this executive to obtain the advice, camaraderie, and support all parents need when trying to uncover resources available for their families and in essence, “hook into” the network. Our respondent felt this idea represented “low-hanging fruit” for organizations.

This type of support is essential within the organization, as well. When professionals need to adjust their responsibilities and perhaps even take a career break for a period of time, respondents commented how providing a plan to do so would be beneficial for their retention. Part of this plan would include getting professionals back to their roles and responsibilities, when appropriate. This is similar to how expatriate assignments are organized, including repatriating professionals when they return to their primary positions or offices. As one professional commented,

> At the end of the day it’s about how you create a community and use our resources in the best possible way. And as companies we’re just not doing that. So anything to help women get off and back on the track is good for everybody. And having a well-orchestrated plan for while she is out: Who’s going to take your responsibilities and how are we going to share the workload, and when are you going to—having very open and honest discussions about that. I think that any organization that has advanced leadership development training—that anyone can opt into—are good things.

Respondents identified what we see as an interesting and novel idea. If organizations can, ahead of time, provide a long-term preliminary plan for not only helping professionals work through work-family challenges, but also for when professionals’ non-work stressors have eased, they can generate a host of benefits. Doing so will likely increase the loyalty, commitment, and retention of these valuable employees. This brings us to our final point: the value of long-term career development.

Focus on Long-Term Career Development as Part of the Leader Succession Plan

Finally, and most important, the nuts and bolts of making leadership advancement an organizational priority is to focus on each professional’s development plan. A theme throughout all of the suggestions in this report is that companies benefit when they enable professionals to build meaningful, long-term careers. As professionals advance through various career phases, smart companies would continuously evaluate what their talent needs to sustain a rewarding in-house path. In line with the organization’s succession planning process and plan, respondents emphasized the importance of developing individualized career plans for all professionals. Respondents viewed assignments that provide learning and “stretching” opportunities, as signals of investments their organizations were making in them. In response, professionals’ commitment to their companies deepened, and their intentions to leave diminished. These executives viewed developing and committing to potential career paths as a win-win for both the professional and the organization. One respondent commented,

> I think I would rather be part of an identified top-talent group than part of a women’s group, personally. I’d rather get help in that way rather than have the woman label as the reason for doing it.

A second executive simultaneously noted the importance of having the “right person in the right position” for anything to help women get off and back on the track is good for everybody. And having a well-orchestrated plan for while she is out: Who’s going to take your responsibilities and how are we going to share the workload, and when are you going to—having very open and honest discussions about that. I think that any organization that has advanced leadership development training—that anyone can opt into—are good things.
I’m a product of going to find talent that isn’t traditional. But we’re becoming a big bureaucratic company that may not want to take those risks. We’re not transparent enough to say, back to the beginning of the career, here’s what the potential is. I think it’s making the possibilities more transparent, having the conversations as you’re reaching into the organization to find these people.

Finally, pointing to the value of human capital in creating firm-based competitive advantage, a fourth interviewee discussed the value inherent in her organization’s talent management process. She said:

We didn’t want to lose those people. We understood how really in many ways lost we would be—people don’t really understand what turnover is about in many cases. I think again, the accounting and consulting firms had the same problem that we did. If we lost a manager, it was like them losing somebody who had worked with an audit client for five to eight years. All that relationship equity. So there were very important business needs. And there were two or three business needs that drove all these practices I’m telling you about. First is that the real cost of turnover was extremely high. The second one was growth. Growth made institutional knowledge and experience even more valuable. And it made promotion from within very rewarding, not only for the individual, but for the organization. And the third was the diversity of the workforce. The achievement of bringing along so many talented people from the cultures where the new hotels were being opened was a tremendous asset to the company and recruiting business partners in those parts of the world and others, where you should show a track record of saying, “Look, you can go to your lender, you can go to your government,”—in many cases the people who were doing these investments wanted to create jobs and opportunities themselves, so they were very much of the same value system. And you could say, “You know, we’re not just talking the game. Look at what happens here.”

Call to Action

Most top leaders throughout the hospitality industry would likely agree that a leadership development and succession plan is essential to their organization’s vitality, and we believe that most would concur that it makes good business sense to have both men and women be a part of this leadership plan. It is also likely that many organizations have already adopted some of the suggestions made here or at least have some related policies in place. Yet, when researching this topic, we were struck by how little companies have shifted their processes over the years. The classic work by Rosabeth Moss Kanter, *Men and Women of the Corporation*, written almost forty years ago, argued that organizations were caught in a self-fulfilling prophecy of hindering women’s progression in ways that harm both women and
their organizations. Since the book’s introduction, research and experience have only furthered the argument. Yet, we also know that integration of women into top decision-making responsibilities can benefit every hospitality organization. Beyond applying their organizational knowledge, skills, and abilities to their positions, female talent brings unique perspectives and strong qualities that only improve decision making in the C-suite and on boards.

Despite knowing this, many hospitality organizations have failed to fully develop their high potential female leaders. More than half of new entrants into the industry are professionally educated women, but after encountering a host of constraints, including the nature of operations-based work, many of them leave the sector to create meaningful careers in other forms of work and in other industries. Further, a growing number of women simply refuse to conform to outdated hierarchies and cultures that do not fit the ways in which they wish to work and live.23 This loss of talent—and failure to attract or retain future talent—could put our industry at a distinct and significant disadvantage.

Highlighting the compelling business case for advancing talented women, we end this paper with a call to action. We encourage those decision-makers wishing to provide their organizations with a distinct advantage over their competitors to reinvigorate their efforts to advance female professionals to top leadership roles and take advantage of this enormous resource. As a beginning point, we ask decision-makers to reflect on how their organization benefits from the insights and leadership of their current top female talent. To retain these leaders, and develop future ones, our interviewees stressed how crucial it is to make a substantial and deep long-term commitment to developing and promoting women professionals. This includes supporting professionals’ needs as they move through various career-life stages and being open to reconsider how work is accomplished. These executives noted that this type of care and attention to their career and work-life needs would generate strong loyalty, commitment, and work output. While some had experienced savvy bosses who understand the value of this reciprocation, our respondents see no systemic focus on this concept at either the organizational or industry level.

Overall, the executives with whom we spoke recognized that achieving any meaningful change requires tangible, consistent, and systemic action, executed by motivated and supportive leaders. Thus, we also encourage decision-makers to take a close look at their belief systems, organization structures, and career development processes. While progressive policies and public relations initiatives are necessary, they are far from sufficient, and in fact, represent a hollow alternative to genuine dedication to the career development of women professionals. Nothing short of C-suite level commitment to increasing the number of senior women will enable hospitality organizations to attract and retain the best and the brightest. This commitment includes a thoughtful reevaluation of succession-planning programs and career development initiatives, a redesign of how work is organized and assessed, and a reconsideration of the types of support needed as professionals move through the phases of their careers. While none of these ideas is groundbreaking on its own, woven together, they have the potential to powerfully create sustained and distinct advantage. As one of our interviewees stated: “It’s pretty fundamental,” and yet, also so important.  ■

23 Cabrera, op.cit.
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